arXiv:1209.1113v2 [math.AP] 25 Sep 2012

DUCHON-ROBERT SOLUTIONS FOR A TWO-FLUID INTERFACE

THOMAS BECK, PHILIPPE SOSOE, AND PERCY WONG

Abstract. We construct analytic solutions to the Euler equations with an interface between two fluids, extending work of Duchon and Robert.

1. Introduction

We consider the interface problem for two perfect incompressible fluids in $\mathbb{R}^2$ under the influence of gravity. Each of the two fluids occupies one of the two connected regions $\Omega_\pm(t)$ in the complement of a moving interface $\Sigma(t)$ parametrized by a curve $y(t, x_1)$:

$$\Sigma(t) = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_2 = y(t, x_1)\}.$$

The relevant equations of motion are given by the two-dimensional Euler system:

$$\begin{cases} v_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho_\pm v \otimes v) + \nabla p = \rho_\pm g & \text{in } \Omega_\pm \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_\pm, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $v = (v_1, v_2)$ is the velocity of the fluid, $\rho_+ \neq \rho_-$ are the densities of the two fluids, and $g > 0$ is the gravitational acceleration. We also introduce the Atwood number $a = \frac{\rho_+ - \rho_-}{\rho_+ + \rho_-}$.

The assumption of incompressibility implies that the vorticity $\Omega := \nabla \times u$ is a distribution supported on Σ. We are thus interested in studying vortex sheet solutions to the system (1), i.e. solutions where Ω is a measure supported on Σ. In [DR], the authors construct global solutions to the vortex sheet problem in a homogeneous fluid, i.e. in the case $\rho_+ = \rho_-$, with small initial data. The object of the present paper is to extend their result to the the case $a \neq 0$.

2. Equations for vortex sheets

We begin by presenting the equations for vortex sheets. These are formulated in terms of the vorticity density $\tilde{\omega}$, defined by:

$$\langle \Omega, \phi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\omega}(t, x) \phi(t, y(t, x))\, \mathrm{d}x$$

for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty$. The components of the velocity field can be expressed in terms of $\tilde{\omega}$ by the Birkhoff-Rott integrals:

$$\begin{cases} v_1(x, t) = -\dfrac{1}{2\pi} \text{p.v.} \displaystyle\int \frac{y(x, t) - y(x', t)}{(x - x')^2 + (y(x, t) - y(x', t))^2} \tilde{\omega}(x', t)\, \mathrm{d}x' \\ v_2(x, t) = \dfrac{1}{2\pi} \text{p.v.} \displaystyle\int \frac{x - x'}{(x - x')^2 + (y(x, t) - y(x', t))^2} \tilde{\omega}(x', t)\, \mathrm{d}x'. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Sulem, Sulem, Bardos and Frisch [SSBF] have derived the equations of the motion of a vortex sheet:

$$\left\{\begin{aligned} \partial_t y + v_1 y_x = v_2 \\ \partial_t(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\omega} - a(v_1 + v_2 y_x)) + \partial_x\{v_1(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\omega} - a(v_1+v_2 y_x)) \\ -a(\frac{\tilde{\omega}^2}{8(1+y_x^2)} - \frac{|v|^2}{2} - gy)\} = 0. \end{aligned}\right. \tag{3}$$

Following [DR], we begin by expressing the system (2), (3) in a form that isolates its linear part. Introducing the function ω, defined by

$$\tilde{\omega} = 2(1+\omega),$$

and differentiating the first equation with respect to x, we can rewrite (2), (3) as

$$\left\{\begin{aligned} \partial_t y_x - \Lambda\omega = N_1 \\ \partial_t\omega + aH\partial_t y_x - \Lambda y_x - a\partial_x\omega + agy_x = N_2 \\ y_x(t=0) = y_{x0} \end{aligned}\right. \tag{4}$$

where $N_1 = N_1(y_x,\omega)$ and $N_2 = N_2(y_x,\omega)$ are nonlinear terms whose exact form will be given in section 4 (see (11), (12) below). Λ is the pseudo-differential operator with symbol $|\xi|$, and H is the Hilbert transform, with symbol $-i\xi/|\xi|$. The equations (4) form the vortex sheet system with which we shall be concerned.

Before stating our result, let us recall the definition of the spaces introduced in [DR], which will be used to carry out the argument.

Definition 2.1. *We denote by B_0 the space of function whose Fourier transforms are bounded measures, with the norm*

$$\|u\|_{B_0} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{d}|\hat{u}|.$$

For $\rho \geq 0$, the space $B_\rho \subset B_0$ is defined by its norm:

$$\|u\|_{B_\rho} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{d}|e^{\rho|\xi|}\hat{u}(\xi)|.$$

For $\alpha \geq 0$, $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$ is the subspace of $C_t^0(\mathbb{R}; B_0)$ defined by the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha} = \inf\{\|\mu\|_{B_0} : \mu \text{ a positive bounded measure}, |e^{\alpha t|\xi|}\widehat{u}(t)| \leq \mu \text{ for all } t \geq 0\}.$$

In addition, $|\mu|_\alpha$ denotes the measure achieving the infimum.

The Paley-Wiener theorem ensures that functions in B_ρ extend analytically to the strip $\{|\Im z| < \rho\}$ of the complex plane. In addition, B_ρ is a convolution algebra.

The main result of the present work is the following:

Theorem 1. *Let $0 \leq |a| < 1$ be fixed with $ag < 0$. For some $\epsilon_1 > 0$, for any $y_{0x} \in B_0$ with norm $\leq \epsilon_1$, there exists $\alpha > 0$ and a solution (y_x, ω) in $\mathcal{B}_\alpha \times \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ to the system (4). Such a solution is unique in some ball of $\mathcal{B}_0 \times \mathcal{B}_0$, and $y_x(t)$, $\omega(t)$ converge to zero uniformly as t goes to infinity.*

Given $ag > 0$, and any fixed time $T > 0$ then there are $\alpha > 0$, $\epsilon_2(T) > 0$ such that for any $y_{0x} \in B_0$ with $\|y_x\|_{B_0} \leq \epsilon_2$, there exists a pair (y_x, ω) in $\mathcal{B}_\alpha \times \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ which solves the system (4) for $0 \leq t \leq T$.

We begin the proof of the theorem in the case $ag < 0$ in the next section, where we further reduce the system (4) to a form suitable to the application of a contraction mapping argument in $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$. In section 5, we explain how to adapt the estimates in [DR] to the nonlinear terms we obtain in the case $a \neq 0$. Finally, in section 6 we show how to address the case $ag > 0$, and establish the second part of the theorem.

3. The linear system

It will be convenient to rewrite (4) in terms of suitable combinations of y_x and ω. We consider the case $N_1 = N_2 = 0$. Substituting the first equation into the second, we find, assuming decay for $t \to \infty$:

$$\widehat{\omega} = (ia\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \pm \sqrt{1 - a^2 - ag|\xi|^{-1}})\widehat{y}_x \tag{5}$$

or, in terms of the differential operator Λ,

$$\omega = (-aH \pm \sqrt{1 - a^2 - ag\Lambda^{-1}})y_x. \tag{6}$$

This suggests rewriting the system (4) as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(\pm\sqrt{1 - a^2 - ag\Lambda^{-1}}y_x) &= \pm\sqrt{1 - a^2 - ag\Lambda^{-1}}\Lambda\omega \pm \sqrt{1 - a^2 - ag\Lambda^{-1}}N_1 \\ \partial_t(\omega + aHy_x) &= \Lambda y_x + a\partial_x\omega - agy_x + N_2. \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

Assuming $N_1 = N_2 = 0$ and adding the two equations above, we obtain the following pair of equations:

$$\partial_t(\omega + aHy_x \pm \sqrt{1 - a^2 - ag\Lambda^{-1}}y_x) = (a\partial_x \pm \sqrt{1 - a^2 - ag\Lambda^{-1}}\Lambda)(\omega + aHy_x \pm \sqrt{1 - a^2 - ag\Lambda^{-1}}y_x). \tag{8}$$

To study the linear equations (7), we introduce the notations:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{a,g} &= \sqrt{1 - a^2 - ag\Lambda^{-1}}, \\ X_\pm &= \omega + aHy_x \pm \Delta_{a,g}y_x, \\ S_\pm &= e^{t(a\partial_x \pm \Delta_{a,g}\Lambda)}, \end{aligned}$$

and the operators:

$$\begin{aligned} I^+h(t) &= \int_t^\infty S_+(t-s)h(s)\,\mathrm{d}s, \\ I^-h(t) &= \int_0^t S_-(t-s)h(s)\,\mathrm{d}s, \\ I_0h &= I^+h(0). \end{aligned}$$

We can formally write solutions to (7) as

$$\begin{aligned} X_+(t) &= S_+(t)X_+(0) - I^+(N_2 + \Delta_{a,g}N_1)(t) + S_+(t)I_0(N_2 + \Delta_{a,g}N_1), \\ X_-(t) &= S_-(t)X_-(0) + I^-(N_2 - \Delta_{a,g}N_1)(t). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $X_+(0) = -I_0(N_2 + \Delta_{a,g}N_1)$ puts $X_+(t)$ in $\mathcal{B}_0$, and we have the relation

$$\omega(0) = -aHy_{x0} - \Delta_{a,g}y_{x0} - I_0(N_2 + \Delta_{a,g}N_1). \tag{9}$$

Taking equation (9) into account, we express y_x and ω in terms of X_+ and X_-. We obtain the following system of equations:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} y_x &= S_-(t)y_{x0} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{a,g}^{-1}\big(- S_-(t)I_0(N_2 + \Delta_{a,g}N_1) \\ &\qquad + I^+(N_2 + \Delta_{a,g}N_1) + I^-(N_2 - \Delta_{a,g}N_1)\big), \\ \omega + aHy_x &= S_-(t)(-\Delta_{a,g}y_{x0}) - \frac{1}{2}(S_-(t)I_0(N_2 + \Delta_{a,g}N_1) \\ &\qquad - I^+(N_2 + \Delta_{a,g}N_1) - I^-(N_2 - \Delta_{a,g}N_1)), \\ y_x(t = 0) &= y_{x0}. \end{aligned} \right. \tag{10}$$

We will apply a fixed point argument to this last system.

Before analyzing the nonlinear terms, let us derive two simple estimates for the operators I_+ and I_-.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $ag < 0$. There exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$ and any $F \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha$, we have the estimates:*

$$\begin{aligned} |I^\pm F_x|_\alpha &\le C(\alpha)|F|_\alpha, \\ |I^\pm F_t|_\alpha &\le C(\alpha)|F|_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We will prove the estimates for the operator I^-, the estimates for I^+ follow similarly.

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\alpha t|\xi|}|\widehat{I^- F_x}| &\le \int_0^t \left| e^{(t-s)(ia\xi + \alpha|\xi| - \Delta_{a,g}(\xi)|\xi|)} \right| |\xi| e^{\alpha s|\xi|} |\hat{F}(\xi, s)| \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &\le |F|_\alpha \int_0^t e^{(t-s)|\xi|(\alpha - \Delta_{a,g}(\xi))} |\xi| \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &= |F|_\alpha \frac{1}{|\alpha - \Delta_{a,g}(\xi)|}(1 - e^{t|\xi|(\alpha - \Delta_{a,g})}). \end{aligned}$$

When $ag < 0$, the last line is uniformly bounded in t if α is sufficiently small.

To derive the analogous bound for $I^- F_t$, we integrate by parts in s:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\alpha t|\xi|}|\widehat{I^- F_t}| &= \left| e^{\alpha|\xi|t} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)(ia\xi - \Delta_{a,g}(\xi)|\xi|)} \partial_s \hat{F} \, \mathrm{d}s \right| \\ &\le \left| e^{\alpha|\xi|t} \cdot e^{(t-s)(ia\xi - \Delta_{a,g}(\xi)|\xi|)} \hat{F}(\xi, s) \right|_{s=0}^{s=t} \\ &\quad + e^{\alpha|\xi|t} \left| \int_0^t (ia\xi - \Delta_{a,g}(\xi)|\xi|) e^{(t-s)(ia\xi - \Delta(\xi)|\xi|)} \hat{F}(\xi, s) \, \mathrm{d}s \right| \\ &\le e^{\alpha|\xi|t}|\hat{F}(\xi, t)| + e^{(\alpha - \Delta_{a,g}(\xi))|\xi|t}|\hat{F}(\xi, 0)| \\ &\quad + |F|_\alpha \int_0^t |\xi|(|a| + \Delta_{a,g}(\xi)) e^{(t-s)(\alpha - \Delta_{a,g}(\xi))|\xi|} \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &\le C(\alpha)|F|_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

□

4. The Structure of the Non-Linear Terms

We consider the structure of the non-linear terms $N_1(y_x, \omega)$ and $N_2(y_x, \omega)$ appearing in the system (4). From the equations (3), (4) we find:

$$N_1(y_x, \omega) = (-v_1 y_x + (v_2 - H\omega))_x \tag{11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(y_x, \omega) = \quad & ((av_1 + aHy_x) + av_2 y_x)_t \\ + \quad & \left(\omega v_1 + (v_1 + Hy_x) - av_1^2 - av_1 v_2 y_x - a\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{(1+\omega)^2}{(1+y_x^2)} - \omega\right) - \frac{v_1^2}{2} - \frac{v_2^2}{2}\right)\right)_x . \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The subscripts x and t denote partial differentiation in the variables x and t, respectively. We have grouped together terms with their linear part.

We note that $N_1(y_x, \omega) = F_x$ has no dependence on the parameter a. $N_2(y_x, \omega)$ depends on a and is different from the function $G(y_x, \omega)_x$ appearing in [DR], p. 217. However, we can still write $N_2(y_x, \omega)$ in the form

$$N_2(y_x, \omega) = G_1(y_x, \omega)_t + G_2(y_x, \omega)_x.$$

Suppressing the dependence on t, we define

$$p = p(x, x') = \frac{y(x) - y(x')}{x - x'}.$$

In terms of p, the equations (2) then become:

$$\begin{cases} v_1(x) = -\dfrac{1}{\pi}\ \text{p.v.}\displaystyle\int \frac{p}{1+p^2}\frac{1+\omega(x')}{x-x'}\,\mathrm{d}x', \\ v_2(x) = \dfrac{1}{\pi}\ \text{p.v.}\displaystyle\int \frac{1}{1+p^2}\frac{1+\omega(x')}{x-x'}\,\mathrm{d}x'. \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

We recall the following singular integral representations for the operators H and Λ:

$$\Lambda u(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\text{p.v.}\int \frac{u(x) - u(x')}{(x-x')^2}\,\mathrm{d}x' \qquad \text{and} \qquad Hu(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\text{p.v.}\int \frac{u(x')}{x-x'}\,\mathrm{d}x'.$$

We will formally expand $F(y_x, \omega)$, $G_1(y_x, \omega)$ and $G_2(y_x, \omega)$ in terms of the sequence of singular integral operators $\{T_j\}_{j\geq 1}$ defined by

$$T_j(y_x)u(x) := \frac{1}{\pi}\ \text{p.v.}\int \left(\frac{y(x) - y(x')}{x-x'}\right)^j \frac{u(x')}{x-x'}\,\mathrm{d}x'.$$

For $F(y_x, \omega)$, we have, just as in [DR]:

$$F(y_x, \omega) = \frac{1}{\pi}\ \text{p.v.}\int \left(\frac{1}{1+p^2} - 1\right)\frac{1+\omega(x')}{x-x'}\,\mathrm{d}x' + \frac{1}{\pi}y_x\ \text{p.v.}\int \frac{p}{1+p^2}\frac{1+\omega(x')}{x-x'}\,\mathrm{d}x',$$

and thus,

$$F(y_x, \omega) = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \epsilon_j T_j(y_x)(1+\omega) + y_x \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \epsilon'_j T_j(y_x)(1+\omega),$$

where ϵ_j, ϵ'_j equals -1, 0 or 1. Note that this expression is at least quadratic in y_x and ω.

We now want to show that $G_1(y_x, \omega)$ and $G_2(y_x, \omega)$ have a similar decomposition. From equation (12), the evolution equation for ω, we see that one term in $G_1(y_x, \omega)$ that we need to consider is $av_1 + aHy_x$. Using the expression for $v_1(x)$ in (13), we have

$$av_1 + aHy_x = -aT_1(y_x)\omega + a\sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \epsilon_j T_j(y_x)(1+\omega). \tag{14}$$

Similarly, using the expression for $v_2(x)$, the other term in $G_1(y_x, \omega)$ satisfies

$$av_2 y_x = \frac{1}{\pi} y_x H\omega + y_x \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \epsilon_j T_j(y_x)(1+\omega).$$

We see that, just as for $F(y_x, \omega)$, every term in the expression for $G_1(y_x, \omega)$ either contains a factor of $T_j(y_x)(1+\omega)$ for some $j \geq 2$, or else at least two factors of y_x and ω, i.e. G_1 is quadratic in y_x and ω around $(0, 0)$.

Turning to $G_2(y_x, \omega)$, each term can either be written in the form above, or else is of the following type:

$$av_1 v_2 y_x = ay_x \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_j T_j(y_x)(1+\omega) \right) \left(H\omega + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \epsilon'_j T_j(y_x)(1+w) \right). \tag{15}$$

Each term in $G_2(y_x, \omega)$ either contains a factor of $T_j(y_x)(1+\omega)$ for some $j \geq 2$ or else contains a factor of $T_1(y_x)$ together with at least one other factor of y_x, ω or $T_1(y_x)$.

5. The nonlinear estimate

The key estimate of Duchon and Robert's work is

Lemma 5.1 ([DR], Section 4)**.** *Let y_{1x}, y_{2x}, ω_1, ω_2 be elements of B_ρ. Assume $|y_{ix}|_\rho \leq \mu$, $|\omega_i|_\rho \leq \mu$ $(i = 1, 2)$ and $|y_{1x} - y_{2x}|_\rho \leq \nu$, $|\omega_1 - \omega_2|_\rho \leq \nu$ with μ and ν positive bounded measures, $\int \mathrm{d}\mu < 1$. Then,*

$$|F(y_{1x}, \omega_1) - F(y_{2x}, \omega_2)|_\rho \leq A(\mu) * \nu,$$

where A is a continuous map from the open unit ball of $\mathcal{M}_+$ (the set of bounded positive measures) into $\mathcal{M}_+$, with $A(0) = 0$.

We show in this section that the analogous inequality for $G_1(y_x, \omega)$ and $G_2(y_x, \omega)$ holds. To prove the above lemma, Duchon and Robert expressed $F(y_{1x}, \omega_1) - F(y_{2x}, \omega_2)$ in terms of the operators $R_k(y_{1x}, \ldots, y_{kx})$, defined by

$$R_k(y_{1x}, \ldots, y_{kx})\Omega(x) := \frac{1}{\pi} \text{ p.v.} \int \frac{1}{k}(p_1 \cdots p_k)_x \Omega(x')\, \mathrm{d}x',$$

where $p_i(x, x') = (y_i(x) - y_i(x'))/(x - x')$. By abuse of notation, we also set

$$R_k(y_x) := R_k(y_x, \ldots, y_x).$$

They then used the following proposition:

Proposition 5.1. *Let* $y_{1x}, \ldots, y_{kx}$ *be elements of* B_ρ. *Then* $R_k(y_{1x}, \ldots, y_{kx})$ *maps* B_0 *into* B_ρ, *and* $|R_k(y_{1x}, \ldots, y_{kx})\Omega|_\rho \leq 2|y_{1x}|_\rho * \cdots * |y_{kx}|_\rho * |\Omega|_{-\rho}$, *where* $|\Omega|_{-\rho}$ *stands for* $\exp(-\pi\rho|\xi|)|\hat{\Omega}|_0$.

In particular, this allows us to deduce that

$$|T_j(y_x)\Omega|_\rho \leq (1+2j)|y_x|_\rho^{*j} * |\Omega|_\rho, \tag{16}$$

and

$$|T_j(y_{1x})\Omega_1 - T_j(y_{2x})\Omega_2|_\rho \leq c(j)(\mu^{*(j-1)} + \mu^{*j}) * \nu, \tag{17}$$

where $c(j) := 2j^2 + 3j + 1$ and $\mu^{*j} := \mu * \cdots * \mu$ (j times).

For any terms in $G_1(y_x, \omega)$ and $G_2(y_x, \omega)$, of the form found in equation (14), we can proceed in an identical fashion to Duchon-Robert to establish Lemma 5.1.

We are left with terms of the form in equation (15). We split this term into two parts. Firstly, consider

$$\Psi_1(y_x, \omega) := a y_x \cdot H\omega \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_j T_j(y_x)(1+\omega) \right).$$

Since H is an isometry in B_ρ, we can use equations (16) and (17) to show that

$$|\Psi_1(y_{1x}, \omega_1) - \Psi_1(y_{2x}, \omega_2)|_\rho \leq A_1(\mu) * \nu,$$

for a continuous function A_1 satisfying $A_1(0) = 0$.

We also need to consider

$$\Psi_2(y_x, \omega) := a y_x \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1} \epsilon_j T_j(y_x)(1+\omega) \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \epsilon'_j T_j(y_x)(1+\omega) \right).$$

Using equations (16) and (17) again, we have the same inequality for Ψ_2 for some continuous function A_2 satisfying $A_2(0) = 0$.

To summarize, for $|y_{ix}|_\rho$, $|\omega_i|_\rho \leq \mu$ and $|y_{1x} - y_{2x}|_\rho$, $|\omega_1 - \omega_2|_\rho \leq \nu$, we have

$$|F(y_{1x}, \omega_1) - F(y_{2x}, \omega_2)|_\rho \leq A(\mu) * \nu \tag{18}$$

$$|G_1(y_{1x}, \omega_1) + G_2(y_{1x}, \omega_1) - G_1(y_{2x}, \omega_2) - G_2(y_{2x}, \omega_2)|_\rho \leq A(\mu) * \nu, \tag{19}$$

for a continuous function A satisfying $A(0) = 0$.

Using (18), (19), one can now easily construct solutions to (10) with prescribed initial data $y_{0x} \in B_0$ and $\omega(0)$ given by (9) by a contraction argument in $\mathcal{B}_\alpha \times \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ around the linear solution

$$\begin{aligned} y_{x,\text{lin}} &= S_-(t) y_{x_0} \\ \omega_{\text{lin}} &= -aHS_-(t) y_{x_0} - S_-(t)(\Delta_{a,g} y_{x0}). \end{aligned}$$

6. The case $ag > 0$

In this section, we prove the second part of Theorem 1. When $ag > 0$, we cannot reproduce the estimates in Lemma 3.1 because the linear semigroup does not dampen small frequencies in time.

We now express the solutions of (8) in a form adapted to the linear analysis we wish to carry out. Let χ be a smooth cut-off function such that $\chi = 1$ for $|t| \leq 1$ and $\chi = 0$ for $t \geq 2$. Denote $\chi_T(\cdot) = \chi(\cdot/T)$.

We begin by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}^+ h(t) &= \int_t^\infty S_+(t-s)\chi_T(s)h(s)\,\mathrm{d}s, \\ \tilde{I}^- h(t) &= \int_0^t S_-(t-s)\chi_T(s)h(s)\,\mathrm{d}s \\ \tilde{I}_0 h &= (\tilde{I}^+)h(0). \end{aligned}$$

For $t \leq T$, we have,

$$\partial_t \tilde{I}^+ h(t) = h(t) + (a\partial_x + \sqrt{1-a^2-ag\Lambda^{-1}}\Lambda)\tilde{I}^+ h(t),$$

and the corresponding equation holds for $\tilde{I}^-$. Thus, if we let

$$X_-(t) = S_-(t)X_-(0) + \tilde{I}^-(N_2 - \Delta_{a,g}N_1)(t),$$

and

$$X_+(t) = S_+(t)X_+(0) - \tilde{I}^+(N_2 + \Delta_{a,g}N_1)(t) + S_+(t)\tilde{I}_0(N_2 + \Delta_{a,g}N_1),$$

X_+ and X_- satisfy equations (7) for $t \leq T$.

As before, we have only prescribed initial data for y_x and not for ω. This means that we still have one degree of freedom, and we use this to ensure that $X_\pm(t)$ are in $\mathcal{B}_0$. Namely, we set

$$X_+(0) = -\tilde{I}_0(N_2 + \Delta_{a,g}N_1).$$

Thus,

$$X_-(0) = -2\Delta_{a,g}y_{x0} - \tilde{I}_0(N_2 + \Delta_{a,g}N_1),$$

and

$$\omega(0) = -aHy_{x0} - \Delta_{a,g}y_{x0} - \tilde{I}_0(N_2 + \Delta_{a,g}N_1).$$

Since the difference of any two solutions will satisfy the homogenous equations, the solutions above are unique in $\mathcal{B}_0 \times \mathcal{B}_0$ up to adding a constant to $\omega(0)$. Choosing $\omega(0)$ to have mean zero selects a unique solution.

Rearranging the above equations, we find that

$$\begin{cases} \begin{aligned} 2y_x &= 2S_-(t)y_{x0} + \Delta_{a,g}^{-1}(S_-(t)\tilde{I}_0(N_2) - \tilde{I}^-(N_2)(t) \\ &\quad - \tilde{I}^+(N_2)(t)) + S_-(t)\tilde{I}_0(N_1) + \tilde{I}^-(N_1)(t) - \tilde{I}^+(N_1)(t), \\ 2(\omega + aHy_x) &= -2S_-(t)\Delta_{a,g}y_{x0} - S_-(t)\tilde{I}_0(N_2 + \Delta_{a,g}N_1) + \tilde{I}^-(N_2 - \Delta_{a,g}N_1)(t) \\ &\quad - \tilde{I}^+(N_2 + \Delta_{a,g}N_1)(t). \end{aligned} \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

A solution $(y_x, \omega) \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha \times \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ of these equations is a local solution of the vortex sheet equations for $t \leq T$.

If we choose $y_{0x} \in B_0$, then $S_-(t)y_{x0} \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ for small $\alpha > 0$. We can thus hope to apply a fixed point analysis in $\mathcal{B}_\alpha \times \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ around $(S_-(t)y_{x0}, -S_-(t)\Delta_{a,g}y_{x0})$ to the above formulation.

The substitute for Lemma 3.1 is the following:

Lemma 6.1. *Write, as in section 4:*

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 &= F_x \\ N_2 &= (G_1)_t + (G_2)_x. \end{aligned}$$

There exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$, the nonlinear terms on the right side of (20) are bounded by

$$e^{CT}C(\alpha)(|F|_\alpha + |G_1|_\alpha + |G_2|_\alpha).$$

Proof. Let us first consider the high frequencies

$$|\xi| \geq 3ag/(1-a^2).$$

For this range of $|\xi|$, $\Delta_{a,g}$ is real and both bounded and bounded away from zero. We can bound the above operators as in Lemma 3.1.

We also consider the low frequencies, $|\xi| \leq 3ag/(1-a^2)$. There are various terms to consider:

(1) $\tilde{I}^-(F_x)(t)$ and $\tilde{I}^+(F_x)(t)$: For $\tilde{I}^+(F_x)(t)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\alpha t|\xi|}\left|\int_t^\infty S_+(t-s)\chi_T(s)F_x(s)\,\mathrm{d}s\right| &\leq C(\alpha)|F|_\alpha \int_t^\infty |e^{(t-s)(i\xi+\sqrt{1-a^2-ag|\xi|^{-1}}|\xi|}|\chi_T(s)\,\mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq TC(\alpha)|F|_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

We have also used $|\xi|$ is bounded in the region of interest. A similar procedure leads to

$$|\tilde{I}^-F_x|_\alpha \leq e^{CT}C(\alpha)|F|_\alpha.$$

(2) $\tilde{I}^-((G_1)_t)(t)$ and $\tilde{I}^+((G_1)_t)(t)$: since $ia\xi \pm \Delta_{a,g}|\xi|$ is bounded uniformly for $|\xi|$ bounded, we can integrate by parts and bound the integrand uniformly to conclude the same inequalities as in (1).

(3) $\Delta_{a,g}\tilde{I}^-(F_x)(t)$ and $\Delta_{a,g}\tilde{I}^+(F_x)(t)$: since $\xi\Delta_{a,g}$ remains bounded for small ξ, we can bound the integral uniformly to conclude the same inequalities.

(4) $\Delta_{a,g}^{-1}\left(S_-(t)\tilde{I}_0((G_2)_x) - \tilde{I}^-((G_2)_x)(t) - \tilde{I}^+((G_2)_x)(t)\right)$. This case requires more work. We can express this term as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{a,g}^{-1}&\left(\int_0^t (S_-(t)S_+(-s) - S_-(t-s))\chi_T(s)(G_2)_x(s)\mathrm{d}s\right) \\ &+ \Delta_{a,g}^{-1}\left(\int_t^\infty (S_-(t)S_+(-s) - S_+(t-s))\chi_T(s)(G_2)_x(s)\mathrm{d}s\right) \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The multiplier $\Delta_{a,g}$ vanishes at $|\xi| = \frac{ag}{1-a^2}$. Away from this frequency, we can proceed as in the previous cases to obtain the desired bounds. Near $|\xi| = \frac{ag}{1-a^2}$, we have the following bound:

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathcal{F}\left((S_-(t)S_+(-s) - S_-(t-s))f\right)(\xi)| \\ \leq &\left|e^{t(ia\xi - \Delta_{a,g}|\xi|)}e^{s(ia\xi-\Delta_{a,g}|\xi|)} - e^{(t-s)(ia\xi-\Delta_{a,g}|\xi|)}\right| |\widehat{f}(\xi)| \\ \leq &C|\Delta_{a,g}|e^{s\Delta_{a,g}|\xi|}|\widehat{f}(\xi)|. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this expression into the first term in (21), we obtain the required bound. The second term in (21) is bounded analogously.

(5) $\Delta_{a,g}^{-1}\left(S_-(t)\tilde{I}_0((G_1)_t) - \tilde{I}^-((G_1)_t)(t) - \tilde{I}^+((G_1)_t)(t)\right)$: This term is bounded by the same procedure as the term in the previous item.

□

We see that for $T \sim \log\frac{1}{\epsilon}$, the bounds in Lemma 6.1 are of order ϵ^{-1}. Using these estimates, we can repeat the fixed point argument as in the case $ag < 0$ and obtain a local solution in a ball in $\mathcal{B}_\alpha \times \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ for $t \leq T$.

We can obtain a somewhat improved time of existence by introducing the following norm.

Definition 6.1. *For $\alpha \geq 0$, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha$ is the subspace of $C_t(\mathbb{R}; B_0)$ defined by the norm*

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha} = \inf\{\|u\|_{B_0} : \mu \text{ a positive bounded measure}, f(\xi,t)|\widehat{u}(t)| \leq \mu \text{ for all } t \geq 0\},$$

where $f(\xi,t) = e^{\alpha t|\xi|}$ for $t \leq 1$ and $f(\xi,t) = e^{\alpha|\xi|}$ otherwise. The measure achieving the infimum is denoted $|u|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha}$.

Working in the space $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha$, we can improve the bounds in Lemma 6.1 to estimates of order

$$TC(\alpha)(|F|_{\tilde{B}_\alpha} + |G_1|_{\tilde{B}_\alpha} + |G_2|_{\tilde{B}_\alpha}).$$

To use the fixed point argument, we need to check that the analog of Proposition 5.1 holds for the space $\tilde{B}_\alpha$. We have the following:

Proposition 6.1. *Let $y_{1x}, \ldots, y_{kx}$ be elements of B_ρ. Then $R_k(y_{1x}, \ldots, y_{kx})$ enjoys the bound*

$$|R_k(y_{1x}, \ldots, y_{kx})\Omega|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\rho} \leq C|y_{1x}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\rho} * \cdots * |y_{kx}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\rho} * |\Omega|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\rho}.$$

The proof follows readily from Proposition 5.1. Thus, the improved dependence on T of the bounds above allows us to extend the time of existence to $T \sim \epsilon^{-1}$ for initial data of size ϵ in B_0.

References

[DR] Duchon, J., Robert, R., *Global Vortex Sheet Solutions of Euler Equations in the Plane,* Journal of Differential Equations **73**, 215-224, 1988.

[SSBF] Sulem, C., Sulem P.L., Bardos, C. and Frisch, U., *Finite time analyticity for the two and three-dimensional Kelvin-Helmholtz instability*, Comm. in Math. Phys. **80**, 485-516, 1981.

Department of Mathematics, Princeton University, Fine Hall, Washington Road, Princeton NJ.

E-mail address: `tdbeck@math.princeton.edu`

E-mail address: `psosoe@math.princeton.edu`

E-mail address: `pakwong@math.princeton.edu`